

1 **Bird-vegetation Associations in Thinned and Unthinned Young Douglas-fir Forests**
2 **Ten Years After Thinning**

3 **Authors: Svetlana Yegorova^{1*}, Matthew G. Betts¹, Joan Hagar², Klaus J. Puettmann¹**

4

5 ¹ Oregon State University, College of Forestry, Department of Forest Ecosystems and Society, 321
6 Richardson Hall, Corvallis, OR, 97331, United States

7 ² US Geological Survey, Forest and Rangeland Ecosystem Science Center, Forestry Sciences Lab, 3200
8 S.W. Jefferson Way, Corvallis, OR 97331, United States

9 * Corresponding author. Tel: +1 734 646 8415

10 E-mail addresses: svetlana.yegorova@gmail.com, matthew.betts@oregonstate.edu, joan_hagar@usgs.gov,
11 klaus.puettmann@oregonstate.edu.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34 **Abstract**

35 Quantitative associations between animals and vegetation have long been used as
36 a basis for conservation and management, as well as in formulating predictions about the
37 influence of resource management and climate change on populations. A fundamental
38 assumption embedded in the use of such correlations is that they remain relatively
39 consistent over time. However, this assumption of stationarity has been rarely tested -
40 even for forest birds, which are frequently considered to be ‘indicator species’ in
41 management operations. We investigated the temporal dynamics of bird-vegetation
42 relationships in young Douglas-fir (*Pseudotsuga menziesii*) forests over more than a
43 decade following initial anthropogenic disturbance (commercial thinning). We modeled
44 bird occurrence or abundance as a function of vegetation characteristics for eight
45 common bird species for each of six breeding seasons following forest thinning.
46 Generally, vegetation relationships were highly inconsistent in magnitude across years,
47 but remained positive or negative within species. For 3 species, relationships that were
48 initially strong dampened over time. For other species, strength of vegetation association
49 was apparently stochastic. These findings indicate that caution should be used when
50 interpreting weak bird-vegetation relationships found in short-term studies and
51 parameterizing predictive models with data collected over the short term.

52 **Keywords:** Bird-vegetation associations; young Douglas-fir forests; forest
53 thinning; disturbance; response variability; long-term experiment.

54

55 **1. Introduction**

56 Stable bird-vegetation associations are a key underlying assumption in wildlife
57 management (Wiens, 2002; Ahlering, 2006), species distribution modeling (Wiens,
58 2002) and models that project species responses to management. Many quantified bird-
59 vegetation relationships derived from two- or three-year studies are used to project
60 species response to management up to 150 years into the future (Larson *et al.*, 2004;
61 Wintle *et al.*, 2005). Effectiveness of efforts to manage bird habitat, and reliability of
62 distribution models and projections of population size in the future depends on how well
63 bird-vegetation relationships described from a two- or three-year long study represent
64 overall, long-term relationships. Additionally, existing mid- and long-term (7-30 years)

65 studies of bird assemblages and bird-habitat associations (Wiens *et al.*, 1986; Winter *et*
66 *al.*, 2005; Rotenberry and Wiens, 2009) point to the dynamic nature of bird communities
67 over time even in systems without major disturbance events (e.g., Holmes and Sherry,
68 2001). The assumption that an ecosystem is in equilibrium is even less likely to be valid
69 in a system recently disturbed by management activities, such as thinning, because
70 vegetation can change and develop rapidly following disturbance (Davis and Puettmann,
71 2009).

72 Management priorities on federal lands in the Pacific Northwest (PNW) have
73 changed from primarily timber production to include the protection of native species and
74 their habitat (Thomas *et al.*, 2006). Several studies were initiated to investigate forest
75 thinning as a technique to restore diversity in young (30-40 year-old) structurally simple
76 Douglas-fir forests that were previously managed for timber production, and to promote
77 development of mature forest characteristics. The response to thinning of vegetation
78 (Davis *et al.*, 2007; Davis and Puettmann, 2009; Wilson *et al.*, 2009), bird community
79 and individual species (Hagar *et al.*, 2004), small vertebrates (Garman *et al.*, 2000;
80 Manning *et al.*, 2012), arthropods (Yi, 2003) and fungi (Pilz *et al.*, 2006) have been
81 investigated for up to ten years after thinning.

82 Short and longer-term bird responses to forest thinning have been extensively
83 studied (Hansen *et al.*, 1995; Chambers *et al.*, 1999; Hayes *et al.*, 2003; Hagar *et al.*,
84 2004; Verschuyt *et al.*, 2011). Birds' responses to forest thinning are hypothesized to be
85 mediated by post-thinning changes in vegetation structure and composition and the
86 associated resources (Hagar and Friesen, 2009; Verschuyt *et al.*, 2011). An expansive
87 number of studies relate bird community composition to the structure and composition of
88 the vegetation community (MacArthur and MacArthur, 1961; Orians and Wittenberger,
89 1991; Cushman and McGarigal, 2004; Lee and Rotenberry, 2005). Yet the link between
90 forest birds and vegetation has rarely been tested over the long term. Understanding the
91 causative link between forest management practices and bird response is important per se
92 as young, structurally homogenous, Douglas-fir forests comprise a large percentage of
93 forests in the PNW (Bolsinger and Waddell, 1993; Kennedy and Spies, 2004), up to 40%
94 of forests in Western Oregon (Kennedy and Spies, 2004).

95 Our objectives in this study were to test the consistency (is bird species A always
96 associated with vegetation characteristic X) and strength (is the magnitude of the
97 relationship constant over time) of bird-vegetation associations in time. Therefore, we
98 advanced the following hypotheses:

99 Proximate Cue Hypothesis: If birds use vegetation characteristics as proximate
100 habitat quality cues, then bird-vegetation associations are expected to be apparent
101 immediately after forest thinning. In this case, vegetation variables associated with birds
102 and the strength of association are consistent in thinned and unthinned forests, assuming
103 an ideal free distribution (IFD; Fretwell and Lucas, 1969). Under assumptions of IFD,
104 bird density along a habitat gradient should be positively related to habitat quality and
105 consistent over time.

106 Decoupling Hypothesis: Birds may use several proximate cues to gather
107 information about habitat quality (Doligez *et al.*, 2003; Ahlering and Faaborg, 2006;
108 Betts *et al.*, 2008). Vegetation characteristics may serve as ultimate resources for
109 reproductive success (cover, nesting site, or nest material) or be associated with such
110 resources (e.g., by supporting an insect community upon which birds feed). A disturbance
111 event, such as forest thinning, may temporarily dissociate, or “decouple”, the link
112 between proximate cues and ultimate resources (e.g., Knick and Rotenberry, 2000).
113 Therefore, we hypothesized that initially weak or unstable bird-vegetation associations
114 may strengthen and stabilize as time since thinning increases.

115 Population Size Hypothesis: Observed bird-vegetation associations and their
116 strength are likely to be influenced by demographic factors (McPeck *et al.*, 2001), social
117 interactions (e.g., Betts *et al.*, 2008), competition (MacArthur 1958) and scale of
118 observation (Orians and Wittenbrger, 1991). We did not have information on competition
119 and conspecific interactions, but attempted to account for variability in bird-vegetation
120 associations due to varying local population size. Bird-vegetation associations may not be
121 indicative of preference when habitat is saturated, e.g., under ideal despotic or ideal
122 preemptive distribution (Wiens, 1976; Rodenhouse *et al.*, 1997; McPeck *et al.*, 2001).
123 During high-population years high-quality habitats may become fully occupied, and the
124 remainder of individuals may be forced to settle in low-quality habitats. Thus, even low-
125 quality habitats will be occupied and, therefore, observed association with a given

126 vegetation characteristic may weaken. Hence, we hypothesized that bird-vegetation
127 associations would weaken in years of high (estimated) population numbers.

128

129 **2. Methods**

130 **2.1 Area and Treatment Description**

131 We used previously collected bird (Hagar et al., 2004, Hagar and Friesen, 2009)
132 and vegetation (Davis *et al.*, 2007, Davis and Puettmann, 2009) data from the Young
133 Stand Thinning and Diversity Study. The YSTDS is a long-term silvicultural experiment
134 located on the west slopes of the Oregon Cascade Mountains in the Willamette National
135 Forest (Davis and Puettmann, 2009; Hagar and Friesen, 2009). The thinning study was
136 implemented as a randomized block experiment, with four replicate blocks. Blocks had
137 similar overstory composition, management history and size. At the beginning of the
138 study in 1994-1996 the blocks consisted of stands dominated by 40- to 50-year old
139 Douglas-fir, planted after regeneration harvest (Davis *et al.*, 2007).

140 Each block received four treatments, randomly assigned to stands within the
141 block. Stand areas ranged from 14 to 38 ha for thinned stands, and up to 53 ha for control
142 stands. The treatments were unthinned Control (CON) with approximately 700 trees per
143 hectare (tph), Heavy Thin (HT) with residual density of 200 tph, Light Thin (LT) with
144 250-300 tph and Light Thin with Gaps (LG) that included evenly-spaced (approximately
145 every 2 ha) 0.2 ha circular clearcut gaps in a matrix with an average density of 250-300
146 tph. Stands were thinned from below, with approximately even spacing, preferentially
147 leaving minority tree species to increase diversity. Treatments were implemented from
148 1995 to 1997 in a staggered manner (Davis and Puettmann, 2009).

149

150 **2.2 Bird Data Summary**

151 Bird data were collected using standard point count methodology (Ralph et al.,
152 1995). Each surveying station was visited three or four times within a breeding season.
153 Data were collected during breeding seasons of 1998, 1999, 2001, 2006, and 2007. For
154 more details see Hagar et al. (2004). We summarized counts of birds by summing counts
155 for each species across repeated visits to each point count station within a year. Species
156 with distributions that had a large number of zero counts and a small range of non-zero

157 counts (≤ 3 detections per site per year) were collapsed to Bernoulli distribution (0's and
158 1's only). Distributions with a large range of non-zero counts (>3 detections per site per
159 year) were attributed to Poisson distribution. We used species occurrence (Bernoulli-
160 distributed counts) or abundance (Poisson-distributed counts) as dependent variable in
161 our models.

162

163 **2.4 Summary of Vegetation Characteristics**

164 We used published species accounts (Marshall *et al.*, 2003) to select vegetation
165 characteristics out of the ones available in the YSTDS. We summarized covers of forbs
166 and low shrubs by averaging the sum of absolute covers of species attributed to the
167 respective structural groups (i.e., forbs and low shrubs, tall shrubs) across sixteen (16) 0.1
168 m² subplots within 0.1 ha vegetation sampling plots (Davis and Puettmann, 2009). The
169 sums of absolute vegetation covers in structural groups were used as explanatory
170 variables to predict bird occurrence and abundance. The tall shrub structural group
171 included coniferous and hardwood saplings; the low shrub structural group included
172 bracken and sword ferns (*Pteridium aquilinum*, and *Polystichum munitum*). Each group
173 was summarized by adding intercept lengths of component species along two 14.5-m
174 sub-transects within each vegetation sampling plot (Davis and Puettmann, 2009), and
175 dividing the intercept sum by the total length of the two transects (sum of TS/(14.5m*2)
176 *100%). Total shrub cover was defined as a sum of low and tall shrub covers. We
177 calculated total tree density (dbh>8cm), including all tree species, as well as density of
178 broadleaf and coniferous trees separately. Tree densities were calculated for the plot and
179 then divided by plot area to provide density per hectare. Vegetation data were collected
180 in 1997, 1999, 2001 and 2006.

181 We estimated crown lengths and tree heights for all trees using relative
182 dominance (based on dbh distributions within the stand) and species-specific regression
183 coefficients from a limited crown length sample (Davis *et al.*, 2007). Average canopy
184 closure and coefficient of variance of canopy closure were calculated from five canopy
185 closure measurements at each plot. Vegetation variables were normalized, i.e.,
186 distribution centered on zero and divided by its standard error. Thus, the unit of change

187 for vegetation characteristic is one standard error of the respective vegetation
188 characteristic distribution, not one percent of its cover.

189

190 **2.5 Correspondence of Bird and Vegetation Data**

191 Locations of vegetation and bird samples did not coincide spatially in all stands.
192 To make the closest possible correspondence between the two types of data, we identified
193 the nearest vegetation plot for 58 point count stations using GPS coordinates of both plot
194 types. Vegetation data from the plots nearest to point count locations were used as
195 explanatory variables. The distance between point count stations and the closest
196 vegetation plot varied from 3 to 175 meters. Sixty nine percent (69 %) of vegetation plots
197 fell within 75 meters of point counts, and 93% of vegetation plots were within 100 meters
198 of point count plots. Given that territories of most passerines in our study range from 1 –
199 10 ha (Robbins *et al.*, 2001) we expect that vegetation plots were sufficiently close to
200 bird point count stations to represent at least a part of bird territory. Results of analyses
201 were not different when only data from the nearest (< 75 m) plots were used. Therefore,
202 we included all of the bird-vegetation pairs for analyses. In 1998 and 2007 bird data were
203 collected, but not vegetation data. For these years, we used vegetation data from 1999
204 and 2006 respectively. A total of 58 bird-vegetation plot pairs were used in the study.

205

206 **2.6 Statistical Analyses**

207 We examined relationships to vegetation characteristics for eight bird species that
208 were sufficiently common in our data (that is, we considered abundance data to be
209 robust): Swainson's thrush (*Catharus ustulatus*), MacGillivray's warbler (*Oporornis*
210 *tolmei*), Oregon junco (*Junco hyemalis*), Pacific wren (*Troglodytes troglodytes*), golden-
211 crowned kinglet (*Regulus satrapa*), Pacific-slope (*Empidonax difficilis*) and Hammond's
212 flycatchers (*Empidonax hammondi*), and hermit warbler (*Dendroica occidentalis*).
213 Additionally, these species are representative of a range of response patterns to thinning
214 treatments: positive (MacGillivray's warbler, Oregon junco, Hammond's flycatcher),
215 negative (hermit warbler, Pacific wren, Pacific-slope flycatcher) or changing direction
216 over time (Swainson's thrush, golden-crowned kinglet) (Hagar and Friesen, 2009; Hagar
217 *et al.*, 2004).

218 To test the Proximate Cue and the Decoupling Hypotheses we had to determine
219 which vegetation characteristics were important in explaining occurrence or abundance of
220 each species. To avoid missing important variables for each species we tested the
221 performance of several vegetation characteristics per species and used Akaike
222 Information Criterion corrected for small sample size (AICc) (Burnham and Anderson,
223 2002) to rank relative performance of models. We used expert opinion and published
224 species accounts (Marshall *et al.*, 2003) to determine vegetation characteristics likely to
225 be associated with each species. We then parameterized univariate and multivariate
226 models with vegetation characteristics as explanatory variables and bird occurrence or
227 abundance as dependent variables. Multivariate vegetation models were considered for
228 forest species associated with understory vegetation, Swainson's thrush and
229 MacGillivray's warbler. Additionally, multivariate models including vegetation variables
230 and indicator of treatment were used to test for a disturbance effect on bird-vegetation
231 associations. The models were limited to the vegetation characteristics available in the
232 vegetation data of the YSTDS. Some potentially important vegetation characteristics,
233 such as downed wood and snag size or snag density could not be used in this study and,
234 therefore, were not tested.

235 We were interested in whether the effect of thinning intensity (i.e., treatment type)
236 on birds was mediated by specific vegetation characteristics. If this was the case, we
237 expected that models based on specific vegetation characteristics would be more
238 parsimonious than treatment-based models, and, therefore, would receive greater support
239 from the data. Thus, for each bird species, we tested performance of at least four
240 vegetation-based models, one treatment-based model, and a null model (Table 1 and
241 Appendix A).

242 Both Poisson and logistic regressions require that bird observations at each point
243 count station are independent of those at all other stations. The nested design of the
244 original experiment (point count stations are nested within stands, and stands are nested
245 within blocks) violated that assumption. We represented the lack of independence by
246 introducing random effects of stand and block into models. Abundance or occurrence of a
247 species within a year was modeled as a function of fixed effects of either a) vegetation
248 characteristics, or b) treatment type, or c) constant occurrence or abundance and random

249 effects of block and stand (with the exception of MacGillvray's warbler and Pacific-slope
250 flycatcher; models for these species included random effects of block only due to the
251 small range of variation in occurrence records across all point-count stations). We used
252 logistic and Poisson regressions to model occurrence and abundance, respectively.
253 Pearson residuals were examined for each model to ensure that model estimates were not
254 heavily influenced by a few unusual observations.

255 To examine stability of the effect of vegetation characteristics on bird response
256 we chose one vegetation-based model (most consistently-supported vegetation-based
257 model through the six years) per species and compared magnitude of effects of vegetation
258 characteristics on bird occurrence or abundance among years. Before examining
259 parameter estimates, we ensured adequate model fit by graphing residuals against fitted
260 values. No patterns indicating bias were detected. For logistic models, area under
261 receiver-operator curve (AUC) and calibration plots were examined to ensure adequate
262 model fit. Conventionally, AUC values over 0.7 are considered acceptable (Hosmer and
263 Lemeshow, 2000). All of AUC values were above 0.6 and the majority of AUC values
264 were above 0.8.

265 Confidence intervals are usually used to estimate the uncertainty associated with
266 an estimate as well as evaluate its statistical significance. There is no standard method for
267 computing confidence intervals for fixed effects in generalized mixed effects models due
268 to an uncertain number of degrees of freedom in such models (Nakagawa and Cuthill,
269 2007). Confidence intervals presented here were calculated using asymptotic theory
270 (assuming normal distribution of estimates) and are considered "approximate" because
271 that assumption is violated. In addition to calculating "approximate", 95% confidence
272 intervals (CI from here on) for estimates of the effect of vegetation characteristics we
273 used the drop-in-deviance test after Zuur et al.'s (2009) example to confirm non-zero
274 effect of vegetation characteristics. Approximate CI and the drop-in-deviance test results
275 were mostly in agreement (Table 2), therefore we refer to CI alone in text, although both
276 are presented in tables. Statistical analyses were carried out in R versions 2.12 and 2.13.1.

277

278 **2.7 Post-hoc Hypotheses**

279 High variability in the magnitude of response of 6 bird species to vegetation
280 characteristics (see Results) prompted us to develop post-hoc hypotheses addressing
281 potential reasons for the observed variation, in addition to Population Size Hypothesis,
282 which was advanced originally. We explored whether observed fluctuations in effect size
283 were related to population size (Population Size Hypothesis), time since thinning
284 (Disturbance Hypothesis) or change in limiting resources as vegetation characteristics
285 develop (Threshold Response Hypothesis).

286 The ratio of vegetation effect size to its standard error has been used previously as
287 an indicator of the strength of bird-vegetation associations (Betts *et al.*, 2010). We
288 assumed that local (observed in the study area only) abundance was an indicator of local
289 population size. We used prevalence, defined as percent of sites where a species was
290 detected across all point count sites, as an estimate of local abundance for Bernoulli-
291 distributed species and total number of detections divided by the number of site visits, for
292 Poisson-distributed species.

293 *Threshold Hypothesis:* Birds likely establish breeding territories along gradients
294 of the most limiting resources (Hilden, 1965). As vegetation develops after thinning,
295 availability of resources may change. If an initially limiting resource reaches levels at
296 which it is no longer limiting, birds are expected to no longer respond to further increases
297 in the resource; i.e., they reach a response “threshold” (Betts *et al.*, 2007). Under this
298 hypothesis, initial positive response to increase in a limiting vegetation characteristic will
299 become zero when it becomes sufficiently abundant. Both understory and overstory
300 vegetation characteristics undergo a rapid development following forest thinning. Tall
301 shrubs are initially damaged but eventually recover after thinning (Davis and Puettmann,
302 2009; Wilson *et al.*, 2009). Threshold hypothesis was suggested by a decreasing response
303 of Swainson’s thrush, a known shrub associate (Marshall *et al.*, 2003; Ellis *et al.*, 2012),
304 to tall shrub cover. We used segmented regression, and R 2.13.1 package *segmented*
305 (Muggeo, 2008), to test for presence of thresholds in response of Swainson’s thrush to
306 increases in tall shrub cover. Starting values for threshold value search were selected
307 based on visual examination of the plot of Swainson’s thrush abundance against tall
308 shrub cover.

309 *Disturbance Hypothesis:* Forest thinning removed trees and introduced forest
310 floor disturbance associated with harvesting operations. Stands that received Heavy Thin
311 treatment were disturbed more than Control and Light Thin stands (Allen, 1998). Soon
312 after thinning, tree density may be negatively correlated with resources that reflect the
313 intensity of disturbance. This correlation may dissipate with time since thinning as
314 secondary succession occurs and ephemeral resources associated with disturbance
315 diminish. To test this hypothesis we regressed the strength of association between Oregon
316 junco abundance and tree density against time and assessed the strength of response in
317 thinned-only and control-only stands.

318

319 **2.8 Accounting for Imperfect Detection**

320 Failure to account for imperfect detection has been shown to bias occurrence
321 records and ultimately study conclusions (MacKenzie *et al.*, 2002). We therefore needed
322 to account for both imperfect detection (MacKenzie *et al.*, 2002) and the lack of
323 independence between sampling stations located in the same stand and block. A mixed
324 effects occupancy model (Kéry, 2010) is the ideal method for accounting for both issues
325 simultaneously. However, a small sample size limited the number of parameters we could
326 estimate. Theoretically, with a large number of visits to an occupied point count station
327 within a breeding season, the probability of detecting a species at the point count stations
328 at least once is expected to approach one. We collapsed detection histories across all
329 within-season visits to calculate average ‘naïve’ occurrence rate, i.e., when not
330 accounting for imperfect detection, and compared it to occupancy estimates that
331 accounted for imperfect detection. For three out of four species for which we modeled
332 occurrence, the two estimates of occupancy were within 6% of each other. Occupancy
333 estimates for golden-crowned kinglet differed, on average, by 25% between the two
334 estimation methods (Yegorova unpublished data). Based on these findings, we decided
335 that not accounting for the violation of independence among the sampling points could
336 more critically bias our results than not accounting for imperfect detection.

337

338 **3. Results**

339 **3.1 Consistency of Bird-Vegetation Associations over Time**

340 For 6 bird species, association with specific vegetation characteristics or
341 combinations of vegetation characteristics was inconsistent across years as evidenced by
342 changes in the relative AICc rankings of vegetation-based models. Only two out of eight
343 species, Pacific-slope flycatcher and MacGillivray's warbler, had a consistent ranking of
344 vegetation models among years (Appendix A).

345 The treatment-based model (Table 1) was a reliable predictor of bird occurrence
346 or abundance for 4 species: Hammond's flycatcher (AICc $w_{\text{treatment}97-99,07} > 0.9$), Pacific
347 wren (AICc $w_{\text{treatment}97-07} > 0.9$), MacGillivray's warbler (AICc $w_{\text{treatment}98,06,07} > 0.5$), and
348 Oregon junco (AICc $w_{\text{treatment}97-99,06-07} > 0.7$)(Appendix A).

349

350 **3.2 Magnitudes of Bird-Vegetation Associations**

351 As expected, response to vegetation characteristics varied substantially across the
352 eight species. Within species, the relationship between bird response and vegetation
353 characteristics remained in a consistent direction (i.e., positive or negative) for all species
354 across years. However, consistent with the AICc results, the magnitude of bird-vegetation
355 association, expressed by the slope of logistic or Poisson regression curve, varied greatly
356 from year to year (Figure 1). Statistical significance of response magnitude varied from
357 year to year for most species. In some years CI's for the respective estimates overlapped
358 one, indicating no statistically significant response across years, however in other years
359 we found the response to be statistically significant (Table 2). The degree of annual
360 fluctuation in the magnitude was highly variable across species and years. However,
361 confidence intervals for the estimates overlapped, suggesting that the estimates were not
362 statistically different from each other despite large variation in size (Table 2). For each
363 species, one of three general patterns of variation emerged: 1) A vegetation variable
364 remained an important predictor of bird response across years, but the magnitude of its
365 association with bird response varied among years; 2) The magnitude of bird-vegetation
366 associations gradually declined over time; and 3) None of the tested vegetation
367 characteristics were associated with bird response.

368

369 *3.2.1 Bird-vegetation associations with non-directional year-to-year variation in*
370 *magnitude*

371 The occurrence of three species (MacGillivray's warbler, Pacific-slope flycatcher,
372 and Hammond's flycatcher) varied along gradients of vegetation characteristics, but the
373 magnitude of the effect of the vegetation characteristics on occurrence of these species
374 changed across years, without an apparent trend (Figure 1.A-C, graph for Pacific-slope
375 flycatcher not shown).

376 Occurrence of MacGillivray's warbler was negatively associated with tree density
377 and positively associated with shrub cover, after accounting for the effect of tree density.
378 The negative effect of tree density on occurrence varied in magnitude over the 10-year
379 study but CI's never overlapped one (Table 2.A). Mean odds of occurrence for
380 MacGillivray's warbler as a function of tree density varied by a factor of ten (10) among
381 years (from 0.01 in 1998 to 0.13 in 2007) (Table 2.A, Figure 1.A). The positive effect of
382 the size of the shrub cover on occurrence varied in magnitude among years and was not
383 always statistically different from one (Table 2.B, Figure 1.B).

384 Pacific-slope flycatcher was positively associated with density of large (>20cm
385 dbh) trees (Table 2.C). The magnitude of response varied from 1.84 in 1998 to 2.84 in
386 2007, by a factor of 1.54. Hammond's flycatcher was negatively associated with conifer
387 density (Table 2.D), with mean odds of occurrence approaching one at tree densities near
388 zero (Figure 2.C), suggesting species' preference for small (0.1 ha) forest openings or
389 vicinities of small forest openings. The magnitude of response remained relatively
390 consistent (0.94 to 0.99) for this species, however it was only statistically significant in
391 the first year after thinning (Table 2.D).

392

393 *3.2.2 Bird-vegetation associations with decreasing magnitude of over time*

394 The magnitude of bird-vegetation associations of three species (Swainson's
395 thrush, Oregon junco, and golden-crowned kinglet) decreased over time (Figures. 1.D-F).
396 Abundance of Swainson's thrush was positively associated with tall shrub cover only in
397 the first three years post-thinning (Figure 1.D, Table 2.E). This apparent decrease over

398 time in the association of Swainson's thrush abundance with tall shrub cover was
399 statistically significant (slope = - 0.06, p=0.04, adjusted R²= 0.60).

400 Abundance of Oregon junco was negatively associated with total tree density but
401 the magnitude of the association decreased over time (slope=0.25, p=0.049, adjusted
402 R²=0.58). Note that the slope is positive because the association between Oregon Junco
403 and tree density became less negative (Figure. 1.E, Table 2.G).

404 Occurrence of golden-crowned kinglet varied strongly along the conifer density
405 gradient in the first year of study (1997) with nearly zero odds of occurring near small
406 gaps (0 tph) and nearly certain presence near densest forest patches (Figure 1.F).
407 However, in all subsequent years this species was almost uniformly distributed along the
408 conifer density gradient (Figure 1.F, Table 2.G).

409

410 *3.2.3 No detected response to examined vegetation characteristics*

411 We did not detect a response to any of the tested vegetation characteristics for two
412 species, Pacific wren and hermit warbler (Appendix A). However, Pacific wren was
413 strongly associated with treatment type in all six sampling periods: $\Delta AICc_{\text{treatment}} = 0$,
414 $\Delta AICc_{\text{-next_best_model}} \geq 3$ for all six years (see Appendix A). The effect of treatment,
415 however, was not correlated with tree density: CI's for estimates of the stem density
416 effect overlap zero for all six years (Table 2.H). While average tree densities varied
417 drastically among treatment types, plot-level tree densities varied widely within each
418 treatment. Thus, there was a tree density overlap at the plot level among treatment types,
419 which explains the seemingly contradictory strong treatment effect but a lack of tree
420 density effect. Pacific wrens were less abundant in treated stands compared to Control
421 stands. Ratio of abundance in Heavy Thin stands compared to Controls in 1997 was 0.6,
422 CI (0.43, 0.98) and decreased in subsequent years.

423 The abundance of hermit warbler also did not correlate consistently with any of
424 the vegetation characteristics tested nor with any of the treatment types. The null model
425 was always within two units of the minimum AICc score (Appendix A), suggesting that
426 either tested vegetation characteristics were irrelevant to this species or the species was
427 ubiquitous.

428

429 *3.3 Technical Sources of Variation*

430 To ensure that the observed variation in the magnitude of vegetation effects was
431 not a statistical artifact of poorly fitting models we calculated AUC scores for the four
432 logistic models fitted to data of each breeding season and to data collapsed across six
433 breeding seasons. AUC scores remained consistently high for two species, MacGillvray's
434 warbler and Hammond's flycatcher ($AUC_{97-07} > 0.85$, $AUC_{cumulative} > 0.85$ for both
435 species). AUC scores fluctuated among years for Pacific-slope flycatcher
436 ($0.67 < AUC < 0.86$, $AUC_{cumulative} > 0.73$) and golden-crowned kinglet ($0.57 < AUC < 0.91$,
437 $AUC_{cumulative} > 0.67$). Thus, three out of four models had at least "acceptable" (≥ 0.7)
438 discrimination power (Hosmer and Lemeshow, 2000).

439 Overall, mean abundance or mean odds of occurrence of each of the six species
440 for which we found bird-vegetation associations at least doubled along the examined
441 gradient of vegetation characteristics (Figures 1.A-F). Additionally, the magnitude of
442 vegetation effect was mostly statistically different from one (Table 1). Therefore, we
443 consider observed association between vegetation and bird responses biologically
444 significant.

445

446 **3.4 Post-hoc Results**

447 The Population Size hypothesis received only weak support. Strength of bird-
448 vegetation associations was weakly (not statistically significant for any given species)
449 related to estimated local abundance (Tables 3 and 4) and did not relate to time since
450 thinning (data not shown) for either "rare" (<150 detections/year), or "common" (>150
451 detections/year) species when each species was examined individually (except for
452 Oregon junco). When pooled, there was a significant negative relationship between
453 strength of association and local abundance for common species (slope = -0.01, $p <$
454 0.002 , $R_{adj}^2 = 0.19$) driven by among-species differences (Figure 3). No statistically
455 significant association was found for rare species when they were pooled (slope = -0.01,
456 $p = 0.36$) (Figure 3).

457 The Threshold hypothesis was supported for Swainson's thrush. The model
458 containing a threshold term received a considerably greater support than the model
459 without one ($AIC_{no_threshold} - AIC_{threshold} = 13.4$). Segmented regression suggested a

460 threshold at 9% cover of tall shrubs, CI (5.5, 13.9). See Figure 4 for an illustration.
461 Abundance of Swainson's thrush increased with greater tall shrub cover (factor of 1.07,
462 CI (1.01, 1.14) for every 1% tall shrub increase) up to the threshold value and was flat for
463 additional tall shrub cover increases above the threshold value (factor of 1.01, CI (1.001,
464 1.14) for every 1% tall shrub increase).

465 The disturbance hypothesis was supported for Oregon junco. As predicted, the
466 abundance of this species did not respond to variation in tree density in Control stands;
467 CI for the effect of tree density in Control stands included zero in all six seasons (CI's not
468 shown). Strength of association (ratio of vegetation effect estimate to its standard error)
469 between Oregon junco abundance and conifer density decreased over time (analysis
470 included data from both treated and control stands): slope = -0.25, $p=0.049$, $R^2_{adj}= 0.58$.

471

472 **4. Discussion**

473 Few studies have quantified bird-vegetation relationships in the long term and
474 examined their consistency over time. The studies that have done so were primarily
475 focused on shrub-steppe or grassland systems (Wiens *et al.*, 1986; Wiens, 2002; Winter *et*
476 *al.*, 2005; Rotenberry and Wiens, 2009). To our knowledge, this is one of the first long-
477 term manipulative studies to document variation in bird-vegetation relationships over
478 time in a forest system.

479 The assumption of tight bird-habitat relationships is often the basis of wildlife
480 management and conservation (Wiens, 2002; Ahlering and Faaborg, 2006). The variation
481 in strength of association between vegetation variables and bird response we documented
482 over more than ten years has important implications for natural resource management and
483 planning. Short term studies (Hansen *et al.*, 1995; Guenette and Villard, 2005; Betts *et*
484 *al.*, 2006; Hewson *et al.*, 2011) may capture only a small part of the range of bird
485 responses to vegetation characteristics and thus create an impression of stable
486 relationships between birds and vegetation. However, explicitly including variability in
487 bird-vegetation relationships may be crucial when projecting species responses to
488 management scenarios and evaluating short-term effects of management.

489 Despite variation in the magnitude of estimates, the direction of relationships
490 between vegetation variables and bird responses remained consistent over time for six out

491 of eight species in this study. We caution against the dangers of interpreting weak (not
492 statistically different from zero) bird-vegetation relationships in a short-term study. Our
493 results show that birds that do not have a strong response to vegetation characteristics one
494 year may respond strongly (statistically and biologically significantly) to vegetation
495 characteristics in the following year or the year before. (e.g., MagGillvray's warbler's
496 response to shrub cover). Absence of response in the short-term, therefore, is not
497 necessarily representative of the bird-vegetation associations over the longer-term, and
498 should be interpreted conservatively.

499 We suggest that the lack of detected response of hermit warbler and Pacific wren
500 to any of examined vegetation characteristics may be due to either ubiquitous presence of
501 these species in forested environments or lack of information on pertinent vegetation
502 characteristics. Pacific wrens are known to nest in downed wood and forage in dead
503 foliage near forest floor (Hejl *et al.*, 2002). Quantitative information on these
504 characteristics could not be considered for this study. This is one possible reason why we
505 did not find a strong effect of a specific vegetation characteristic on Pacific wren's
506 abundance.

507 Observed variation in association between vegetation characteristics and the six
508 bird species for which we detected a response raised the question of underlying causes.
509 We ruled out three potential methodological causes: inappropriate vegetation variables,
510 poor model fit and poor data quality. We believe vegetation variables were ecologically
511 significant to birds because 1) they were consistent with published natural history
512 accounts, and 2) our vegetation-based models performed better than null models
513 (Appendix A). We ruled out poor data quality as a cause of variation because bird and
514 vegetation data were collected consistently, according to standardized protocols, by
515 trained observers. Below we discuss possible ecological causes of variation in the
516 strength of bird-vegetation relationships.

517

518 **4.1 Local Abundance Effects on Bird-Vegetation Associations**

519 We found that strength of bird-vegetation associations within a species was only
520 weakly related to local abundance of that species, providing little support for ideal free
521 (IFD), ideal preemptive (IPD) and ideal despotic distribution scenarios (IDD). For rare

522 species (Bernoulli-distributed), perhaps, local abundances were not large enough to
523 saturate available habitat. When habitat is unsaturated we could expect to observe a
524 pattern consistent with IFD, high odds of occurrence at high-quality sites and low odds at
525 poor-quality sites (Fretwell and Lucas, 1969). However, at low abundance local
526 stochastic processes (predation, local-scale disturbance etc.) as well as demographic
527 stochasticity, inherent to a population, have a greater effect on the overall population size
528 fluctuation than in a medium or large population (Shaffer, 1981).

529 For each common (Poisson-distributed) species, the strength of vegetation effect
530 decreased slightly, but not significantly, with species abundance. Within the common
531 species group, more abundant species had weaker associations with vegetation
532 characteristics than the less abundant species (Figure 2). This negative relationship
533 between response size and local abundance suggests a decreasing affinity for specific
534 vegetation features as bird abundance increases. The weak relationship between bird-
535 vegetation associations and local abundance *within* species suggests that 1) abundance
536 fluctuations are too small to produce a negative effect on the strength of bird-vegetation
537 associations, or 2) available habitat was saturated even at the lowest population size and
538 that bird-vegetation associations within species were at their lowest value and could not
539 erode any further.

540

541 **4.2 Vegetation Threshold Hypothesis**

542 Variation in strength of association between vegetation and Swainson's thrush
543 abundance was consistent with the Threshold Hypothesis. Thresholds in population
544 processes in relation to amount of habitat at landscape scales are predicted by theoretical
545 studies (e.g., Andren, 1999; Fahrig, 2002; McPherson and Jetz, 2007). Recent studies
546 document thresholds in bird occurrence and abundance in relation to vegetation
547 characteristics in PNW forest birds (Betts *et al.*, 2010; Ellis and Betts, 2011). Swainson's
548 thrush has been found to be strongly associated with hardwood vegetation (Ellis *et al.*,
549 2012, Marshall *et al.*, 2003) at stand scales. Thus, a positive association of Swainson's
550 thrush with tall shrubs, a structural group that includes mainly broadleaf tall shrubs and
551 young hardwoods, supports previous findings. However, we cannot rule out that this

552 insectivorous species was not responding to changes in arthropod community or another
553 resource affected by thinning as we did not measure arthropod density in this study.

554

555 **4.3 Thinning-associated Disturbance Effects**

556 We did not find support for the Decoupling Hypothesis. Bird-vegetation
557 relationships were present in the first year of available data for six of the eight species
558 and relationships did not strengthen with time since thinning, contrary to predictions of
559 the Decoupling Hypothesis. However, most stands were thinned in 1995 and 1996 (for
560 details see Beggs, 2004) but sampled for birds in 1997 for the first time, making detection
561 of decoupling between vegetation characteristics and proximate cues potentially difficult.

562 Two species showed evidence of responding to disturbance-related resources, but
563 not in the expected direction. Oregon junco's response was consistent with the species
564 tracking ephemeral, disturbance-related, resources. Golden-crowned kinglets avoided
565 vicinities of low-density forest areas immediately after forest thinning, but returned to
566 approximately homogeneous use of the forest, including areas of low tree density, after
567 the first year. The forest dwelling species examined in this study appear to respond
568 rapidly to vegetation changes or vegetation-associated resources, compared to shrub-
569 steppe birds where time-lags were found in response to habitat alteration (Wiens, 1986;
570 Knick and Rotenberry, 2000).

571

572 **4.4 Treatment Type as Habitat Descriptor**

573 Previous studies documented responses of individual species to thinning in young
574 Douglas-fir stands (Hayes *et al.*, 2003; Hagar *et al.*, 2004), and hypothesized that
575 treatment effects on bird abundances were mediated by vegetation developments after
576 thinning (Verschuyl *et al.*, 2011). We also found evidence of bird responses to vegetation
577 characteristics that were influenced by thinning. However, our results show that, thinning
578 intensity was a more reliable predictor of bird response than vegetation characteristics.

579 Treatment type is a more complex variable than individual vegetation
580 characteristics because it integrates vertical and horizontal vegetation structure at a larger
581 spatial scale than vegetation plots. The spatial scale of treatments (> 15 ha) approximates
582 multiple territories of the species we examined, and may be more relevant to patch-level

583 habitat-selection (sensu Johnson, 1980) than plot-scale vegetation characteristics. These
584 reasons may account for the superior AICc performance of treatment models compared to
585 models with individual vegetation characteristics.

586 It is possible that univariate and bivariate vegetation-based models were too
587 simple to adequately represent multi-dimensional habitat quality for the species we
588 examined. However, simple vegetation descriptors have been used successfully in the
589 past to explain bird abundance. Hansen *et al.* (1995) found statistically significant habitat
590 functions for bird species abundance using tree density measurements by dbh classes.
591 They found that most of their bird species, including the eight species in the present
592 study, responded to total density of trees ≥ 10 cm dbh. However, tree density may
593 represent more associated information about habitat in forest stands without recent
594 disturbances, and less in recently thinned forests where understory and overstory
595 vegetation are rapidly developing and relationships between tree density and other
596 vegetation characteristics are changing. Thus, the dynamic relationships between
597 vegetation characteristics and birds in recently thinned forests may explain the relatively
598 poor performance of univariate vegetation models compared to the treatment-based
599 model in our study. The ranges of stand-level tree densities and successional stages in
600 YSTDS were narrow compared to those examined in Hansen *et al.* (1995), reiterating the
601 importance of context (spatial and successional in this case) for interpreting and applying
602 study results.

603

604 **4.5 Issues of Spatial Scale**

605 Dependence of result on observation scale is a classic idea in ecology (Wiens,
606 1989). The relatively high degree of variability of small-scale bird-vegetation
607 associations in comparison to stable associations with treatment type may be an example
608 of this principle. Both vegetation characteristics and bird responses were measured at
609 small spatial scales. Small-scale sampling revealed the variation in local vegetation
610 characteristics (tree density, shrub cover etc.) as well as birds' response to small-scale
611 variation in vegetation characteristics that could not be detected at stand scales.

612 Habitat selection by birds is a multi-scale process (e.g., Johnson, 1980; Orians and
613 Wittenberger, 1991). Both local vegetation characteristics and landscape-level

614 composition have been found important in explaining forest bird community composition
615 in the PNW (Cushman and McGarigal, 2004). We did not account for effects of
616 landscape composition on the local-level species response, implicitly assuming that
617 landscape composition was similar for all examined stands. The forest stands examined
618 in this study were located in the relatively homogeneous matrix of unthinned, young
619 Douglas-forest stands when the study was established. Over the study period, the
620 proportion of thinned stands increased dramatically across the surrounding landscapes
621 (Klaus Puettmann – personal communication), which may have contributed to the
622 amplifying or dampening trends we observed in bird-vegetation associations in some
623 species.

624

625

626 **5. Conclusions and Management implications**

627 Our results should be interpreted as a cautionary note. Temporal variability in bird
628 associations means that important bird-vegetation correlations may be missed in short-
629 term studies. Therefore, inferences and projections made with short-term information
630 should be made cautiously. Efforts to model future distributions or population viability
631 (e.g., Larson et al. 2004) would be most reliable if information about inter-annual
632 variation in the magnitude of bird response to vegetation characteristics is incorporated.
633 Unfortunately, such long-term data are only rarely available for such projection models
634 (e.g., Bird et al. 2012, Gasner et al. 2012). Our study highlights the additional uncertainty
635 of interpreting projections based on short-term studies.

636 As federal forest managers in the PNW are promoting biodiversity and native
637 species habitat in forests previously managed for timber production, detailed information
638 about mechanisms of species response to management is necessary. Our study shows that
639 in the predominantly forested landscape of the west-slope of the Cascade Mountains in
640 Oregon, bird species quickly respond to changes in vegetation characteristics associated
641 with forest thinning. The temporal consistency of qualitative bird responses to thinning,
642 together with biologically significant response of birds to gradients in vegetation
643 characteristics indicates that vegetation characteristics examined here could be used as
644 general guidelines for species-specific habitat management in young Douglas-fir forests

645 in the PNW. For example, our study suggests that maintaining patches of forest with tall
646 shrub cover $\geq 9\%$ may maximize local abundance of the Swainson's thrush. However, the
647 magnitude of bird response should be assessed for several years after management
648 implementation to account for the variability observed in this study.

649 The success of treatment type in predicting bird response suggests that the spatial
650 scale of thinning treatment and its vegetation 'gestalt' are ecologically relevant to
651 breeding forest birds. Assuming bird abundance reflects habitat quality, which is not
652 always the case (Van Horne, 1983), forest thinning at spatial scales of 10's of hectares
653 may be an effective technique for creating habitat for some of the species associated with
654 well-developed understory vegetation, such as MacGillivray's warbler, or aerial
655 insectivores associated with small forest gaps, such as Hammond's flycatcher.

656 Overall, our results suggest, that long-term monitoring of management effects is
657 crucial despite the associated practical and financial difficulties. Our study highlights an
658 important reason for long-term monitoring of the effects of management efforts. Given
659 the variability in associations between birds and vegetation that we documented, an
660 accumulation of evidence from several long-term studies is needed to establish which
661 vegetation characteristics are most important to each species, and to identify thresholds in
662 levels of vegetation variables to which birds respond. Further quantification of
663 uncertainty in bird-vegetation relationships may allow managers and conservation
664 planners to better assess trade-offs among management effects on multiple resources, and
665 therefore make better management and conservation decisions.

666

667 **Acknowledgements:**

668 Funding support for this study was provided by the Willamette National Forest
669 and Oregon State University, the Department of Forest Ecosystems and Society,
670 Richardson Family and Kathy Bacon Fellowships and by a grant from the United States
671 Department of Agriculture Food and Research Initiative (AFRI-2009-04457) to M.G.
672 Betts. We thank two anonymous reviewers and C. Phillips of USGS for providing
673 suggestions to improve the manuscript. Any use of trade, product, or firm names is for
674 descriptive purposes only and does not imply endorsement by the U.S. Government.

675

676

677

678 **Literature Cited:**

679

- 680 Ahlering, M.A., Faaborg, J., 2006. Avian habitat management meets conspecific
681 attraction: if you build it, will they come? *The Auk* 123, 301-312.
- 682 Allen, M.M., 1998. Soil compaction and disturbance following a thinning of second-
683 growth Douglas-fir with a cut-to-length and a skyline system in the Oregon
684 Cascades. Thesis. Oregon State University.
- 685 Andren, H., 1999. Habitat fragmentation, the random sample hypothesis and critical
686 thresholds. *Oikos* 84, 306-308.
- 687 Beggs, L.R., 2004. Vegetation response following thinning in young Douglas-fir
688 forests of western Oregon: can thinning accelerate development of late-successional
689 structure and composition?
- 690 Betts, M., Hagar, J., Rivers, J., Alexander, J., McGarigal, K., McComb, B., 2010.
691 Thresholds in forest bird occurrence as a function of the amount of early-seral
692 broadleaf forest at landscape scales. *Ecological Applications* 20, 2116-2130.
- 693 Betts, M.G., Diamond, A.W., Forbes, G.J., Villard, M.A., Gunn, J.S., 2006. The
694 importance of spatial autocorrelation, extent and resolution in predicting forest bird
695 occurrence. *Ecological Modelling* 191, 197-224.
- 696 Betts, M.G., Hadley, A.S., Rodenhouse, N., Nocera, J.J., 2008. Social information
697 trumps vegetation structure in breeding-site selection by a migrant songbird.
698 *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 275, 2257.
- 699 Bird, J.P., Buchanan, G.M., Lees, A.C., Clay, R.P., Develery, P.F., Yepez, I., Butchart, H.M.,
700 2012. Integrating spatially explicit habitat projections into extinction risk
701 assessments: a reassessment of Amazonian avifauna incorporating projected
702 deforestation. *Diversity and Distributions* 3, 273-281.
- 703 Bolsinger, C., Waddell, K., 1993. Area of old-growth forests in California, Oregon and
704 Washington. *US Forest Service Resource Bulletin*. PNW-RB-197.
- 705 Burnham, K.P., Anderson, D.R., 2004. Multimodel inference. *Sociological methods*
706 *and research* 33, 261-304.
- 707 Chambers, C.L., McComb, W.C., Tappeiner, J.C., 1999. Breeding bird responses to
708 three silvicultural treatments in the Oregon Coast Range. *Ecological Applications* 9,
709 171-185.
- 710 Cook, J.G., Stutzman, T.W., Bowers, C.W., Brenner, K.A., Irwin, L.L., 1995. Spherical
711 densimeters produce biased estimates of forest canopy cover. *Wildl. Soc. Bull.*, 711-
712 717.
- 713 Cushman, S.A., McGarigal, K., 2004. Hierarchical Analysis of Forest Bird Species-
714 Environment Relationships in the Oregon Coast Range. *Ecological Applications* 14,
715 1090-1105.
- 716 Davis, L.R., Puettmann, K.J., 2009. Initial response of understory vegetation to three
717 alternative thinning treatments. *Journal of Sustainable Forestry* 28, 904-934.
- 718 Davis, L.R., Puettmann, K.J., Tucker, G.F., 2007. Overstory response to alternative
719 thinning treatments in young Douglas-fir forests of western Oregon. *Northwest Sci.*
720 81, 1-14.

721 Doligez, B., Cadet, C., Danchin, E., Boulinier, T., 2003. When to use public information
722 for breeding habitat selection? The role of environmental predictability and density
723 dependence. *Anim. Behav.* 66, 973-988.

724 Ellis, T.M., Kroll, A.J., Betts, M.G., 2012. Early seral hardwood vegetation increases
725 adult and fledgeling bird abundance in Douglas-fir plantations of the Oregon Coast
726 Range, USA. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 42, 918-933.

727 Ellis, T.M., Betts, M.G., 2011. Bird abundance and diversity across a hardwood
728 gradient within early seral plantation forest. *Forest Ecology and Management* 261,
729 1372-1381.

730 Fahrig, L., 2002. Effect of Habitat Fragmentation on the Extinction Threshold: A
731 Synthesis. *Ecological Applications* 12, 346-353.

732 Franklin, J.F., Dyrness, C., 1973. Natural vegetation of Oregon and Washington. US
733 Forest Service. Pacific Northwest Forest and Range Experimental Station, Portland,
734 OR.

735 Fretwell, S.D., Lucas, H.L., 1969. On territorial behavior and other factors influencing
736 habitat distribution in birds. *Acta Biotheoretica* 19, 16-36.

737 Garman, S.L., Mayo, J.H., Cissel, J.H., 2000. Response of ground-dwelling vertebrates
738 to thinning young stands: the young stand thinning and diversity study. Annual
739 Report to US Forest Service, Corvallis, OR.

740 Gasner, M.R., Jankowski, J.E., Ciecka, A.L. Kyle, K.O., Rabenold, K.N., 2010. Projecting
741 the local impacts of climate change on a Central American montane avian
742 community. *Biological Conservation* 143, 1250-1258.

743 Greene, C.M., Stamps, J.A., 2001. Habitat selection at low population densities.
744 *Ecology* 82, 2091-2100.

745 Guenette, J.S., Villard, M.A., 2005. Thresholds in forest bird response to habitat
746 alteration as quantitative targets for conservation. *Conservation Biology* 19, 1168-
747 1180.

748 Hagar, J., Friesen, C., 2009. Young Stand Thinning and Diversity Study: Response of
749 Songbird Community One Decade Post-Treatment. U.S. Geological Survey Open-File
750 Report 2009-1253.

751 Hagar, J., Howlin, S., Ganio, L., 2004. Short-term response of songbirds to
752 experimental thinning of young Douglas-fir forests in the Oregon Cascades. *Forest
753 Ecology and Management* 199, 333-347.

754 Hansen, A., McComb, W., Vega, R., Raphael, M., Hunter, M., 1995. Bird habitat
755 relationships in natural and managed forests in the west Cascades of Oregon.
756 *Ecological Applications* 5, 555-569.

757 Hejl, Sallie J., Jennifer A. Holmes and Donald E. Kroodsma. 2002. Winter Wren
758 (*Troglodytes hiemalis*), in: A. Poole (Ed), *The Birds of North America Online*. Cornell
759 Lab of Ornithology, Ithaca, retrieved from the Birds of North America Online:
760 <http://bna.birds.cornell.edu/bna/species/623>

761 Hayes, J., Weikel, J., Huso, M., 2003. Response of birds to thinning young Douglas-fir
762 forests. *Ecological Applications* 13, 1222-1232.

763 Hewson, C.M., Austin, G.E., Gough, S.J., Fuller, R.J., 2011. Species-specific responses of
764 woodland birds to stand-level habitat characteristics: The dual importance of forest
765 structure and floristics. *Forest Ecology and Management* 261, 1224-1240.

766 Hilden, O., 1965. Habitat selection in birds. *Ann. Zool. Fenn.* 2, 53-75.

767 Holmes, R.T., Sherry, T.W., 2001. Thirty-year bird population trends in an
768 unfragmented temperate deciduous forest: importance of habitat change. *The Auk*
769 118, 589-609.

770 Hosmer, D.W., Lemeshow, S., 2000. Applied logistic regression. Wiley-Interscience.

771 James, F.C., Wamer, N.O., 1982. Relationships between temperate forest bird
772 communities and vegetation structure. *Ecology*, 159-171.

773 Johnson, D.H., 1980. The Comparison of Usage and Availability Measurements for
774 Evaluating Resource Preference. *Ecology* 61, 65-71.

775 Kennedy, R., Spies, T., 2004. Forest cover changes in the Oregon Coast Range from
776 1939 to 1993. *Forest Ecology and Management* 200, 129-147.

777 Kéry, M., 2010. Introduction to WinBUGS for Ecologists: Bayesian Approach to
778 Regression, ANOVA, Mixed Models and Related Analyses. Academic Press.

779 Knick, S.T., Rotenberry, J.T., 2000. Ghosts of habitats past: contribution of landscape
780 change to current habitats used by shrubland birds. *Ecology* 81, 220-227.

781 Larson, M.A., Thompson III, F.R., Millspaugh, J.J., Dijak, W.D., Shifley, S.R., 2004.
782 Linking population viability, habitat suitability, and landscape simulation models for
783 conservation planning. *Ecological Modelling* 180, 103-118.

784 Lee, P.Y., Rotenberry, J.T., 2005. Relationships between bird species and tree species
785 assemblages in forested habitats of eastern North America. *J. Biogeogr.* 32, 1139-
786 1150.

787 MacArthur, R.H., MacArthur, J.W., 1961. On Bird Species Diversity. *Ecology* 42, 594-
788 598.

789 MacKenzie, D., Nichols, J., Lachman, G., Droege, S., Andrew Royle, J., Langtimm, C.,
790 2002. Estimating site occupancy rates when detection probabilities are less than
791 one. *Ecology* 83, 2248-2255.

792 Manning, T., Hagar, J.C., McComb, B.C., 2012. Thinning of young Douglas-fir forests
793 decreases density of northern flying squirrels in the Oregon Cascades. *Forest*
794 *Ecology and Management* 264, 115-124.

795 Marshall, D.B., Hunter, M.G., Contreras, A., 2003. Birds of Oregon: a general
796 reference. Oregon State University Press.

797 McPeck, M.A., Rodenhouse, N.L., Holmes, R.T., Sherry, T.W., 2001. A general model of
798 site-dependent population regulation: population-level regulation without
799 individual-level interactions. *Oikos* 94, 417-424.

800 McPherson, J., Jetz, W., 2007. Effects of species' ecology on the accuracy of
801 distribution models. *Ecography* 30, 135-151.

802 Morrison, P.H., Swanson, F.J., 1990. Fire history and pattern in a Cascade Range
803 landscape.

804 Muggeo, V.M.R., 2008. Segmented: an R package to fit regression models with
805 broken-line relationships. *R news* 8, 20-25.

806 Nakagawa, S., Cuthill, I.C., 2007. Effect size, confidence interval and statistical
807 significance: a practical guide for biologists. *Biological Reviews* 82, 591-605.

808 Orians, G.H., Wittenberger, J.F., 1991. Spatial and temporal scales in habitat
809 selection. *Am. Nat.*, 29-49.

810 Pilz, D., Molina, R., Mayo, J., 2006. Effects of thinning young forests on chanterelle
811 mushroom production. *J. For.* 104, 9-14.

812 Pulliam, H.R., Danielson, B.J., 1991. Sources, sinks, and habitat selection: a landscape
813 perspective on population dynamics. *Am. Nat.*, 50-66.

814 Ralph, C.J., Droege, S., Sauer, J.R., 1995. *Managing and Monitoring Birds Using Point*
815 *Counts: Standards and Applications.* Ralph, C.J, Sauer, J.R., and Droege, S., eds.,
816 *Monitoring Bird Populations by Point Counts.* USDA Forest Service Gen. Tech. Rep.
817 PSW-GTR-149, Albany, California.

818 Riffell, S.K., Gutzwiller, K.J., 2009. Interannual variation in bird–landscape relations:
819 understanding sources of a pervasive conservation dilemma. *Oikos* 118, 45-54.

820 Rodenhouse, N.L., Scott Sillett, T., Doran, P.J., Holmes, R.T., 2003. Multiple density–
821 dependence mechanisms regulate a migratory bird population during the breeding
822 season. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences*
823 270, 2105.

824 Robbins, C.S., Bruun, B., Zim, H.S., 2001. *Birds of North America, Revised and*
825 *Updated: A Guide to Field Identification.* Golden Guides from St. Martin Press.

826 Rodenhouse, N.L., Sherry, T.W., Holmes, R.T., 1997. Site-Dependent Regulation of
827 Population Size: A New Synthesis. *Ecology* 78, 2025-2042.

828 Rotenberry, J.T., Wiens, J.A., 2009. Habitat relations of shrubsteppe birds: a 20-year
829 retrospective. *The Condor* 111, 401-413.

830 Schlaepfer, M.A., Runge, M.C., Sherman, P.W., 2002. Ecological and evolutionary
831 traps. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 17, 474-480.

832 Shaffer, M.L., 1981. Minimum population sizes for species conservation. *Bioscience*,
833 131-134.

834 Stephens, P., Sutherland, W., Freckleton, R., 1999. What is the Allee effect? *Oikos*,
835 185-190.

836 Thomas, J.W., Franklin, J.F., Gordon, J., Johnson, K.N., 2006. The Northwest Forest
837 Plan: origins, components, implementation experience, and suggestions for change.
838 *Conservation Biology* 20, 277-287.

839 Van Horne, B., 1983. Density as a misleading indicator of habitat quality. *The Journal*
840 *of wildlife management*, 893-901.

841 Verschuyt, J., Riffell, S., Miller, D., Wigley, T.B., 2011. Biodiversity response to
842 intensive biomass production from forest thinning in North American forests–A
843 meta-analysis. *Forest Ecology and Management* 261, 221-232.

844 Wiens, J., 2002. Predicting species occurrences: progress, problems, and prospects.
845 *Predicting species occurrences: issues of accuracy and scale.* Island Press,
846 Washington, DC, 739-749.

847 Wiens, J.A., 1976. Population Responses to Patchy Environments. *Annu. Rev. Ecol.*
848 *Syst.* 7, 81-120.

849 Wiens, J.A., 1989. Spatial Scaling in Ecology. *Functional Ecology* 3, 385-397.

850 Wiens, J.A., Rotenberry, J.T., Van Horne, B., 1986. A lesson in the limitations of field
851 experiments: shrubsteppe birds and habitat alteration. *Ecology*, 365-376.

852 Wilson, D.S., Anderson, P.D., Puettmann, K.J., 2009. Evaluating the consistency of
853 understory vegetation response to forest thinning through synthetic analysis of
854 operational-scale experiments. *Forestry* 82, 583-596.

855 Winter, M., Johnson, D.H., Shaffer, J.A., 2005. Variability in vegetation effects on
856 density and nesting success of grassland birds. *J. Wildl. Manage.* 69, 185-197.

857 Wintle, B.A., Bekessy, S.A., Venier, L.A., Pearce, J.L., Chisholm, R.A., 2005. Utility of
858 Dynamic-Landscape Metapopulation Models for Sustainable Forest Management.
859 Conservation Biology 19, 1930-1943.
860 Yi, H., 2003. Response of arthropods to different intensities of thinning in Oregon.
861 Zuur, A.F., Ieno, E.N., Walker, N., Saveliev, A.A., Smith, G.M., Walker, N.J., 2009. GLMM
862 and GAMM. Mixed effects models and extensions in ecology with R. Springer New
863 York.
864
865